

© Copyright Statement

All rights reserved. All material in this document is, unless otherwise stated, the property of **FPC International, Inc.** Copyright and other intellectual property laws protect these materials. Reproduction or retransmission of the materials, in whole or in part, in any manner, without the prior written consent of the copyright holder, is a violation of copyright law.

**Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Fleet Trial
of
FPC-1 Fuel Performance Catalyst**

FINAL REPORT

Prepared by UHI Corporation
Provo, Utah

March 6, 1995



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction	3
II. Discussion of Carbon Mass Balance Method	3
Correction for Fuel Density	
Correction for Barometric Pressure	
III. Discussion of Smoke and Carbon Monoxide	4
IV. Discussion of Fuel Consumption	5
V. Observations of Wal-Mart Personnel	6
VI. Conclusions	6

Appendices 1-4

I. Introduction

FPC-1 Fuel Performance Catalyst is a burn rate modifier (catalyst) proven to reduce fuel consumption and increase engine horsepower in several recognized, independent laboratory tests, and several hundred independent field trials. The catalyst speeds the rate of flame propagation, thereby reducing combustion time losses. This action is particularly beneficial wherein engine design (engine speed or rpm) and/or operation type (rapid changes in engine speed and load) contribute to efficiency loss, such as the high-speed diesel truck engine.

A test of FPC-1 conducted by Southwest Research Institute in a 2500 horsepower, medium-speed (900 rpm), turbocharged genset, showed the catalyst created a nearly 2% gain in efficiency (under constant load and engine speed). Combustion experts agree that a 2% gain in a test and engine of this type will translate to a 4.0% to 6.0% gain in a similar engine (medium-speed) operating in the field. For high-speed diesels, improvements could be greater still, since the engine has less time to combust the fuel.

The catalyst also has a positive impact upon the products of incomplete combustion, primarily soot (smoke), a fact which further confirms the catalyst improves the rate of combustion.

The intent of the current trial at Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Bentonville, Arkansas, is to determine the degree of fuel consumption reduction created by the addition of FPC-1 to the diesel fuel supplied to a select fleet of trucks. The test methodology for determining fuel consumption uses "carbon mass balance" (CMB).

The CMB method measures the carbon containing products of the combustion process (CO₂, CO, HC) found in the exhaust, rather than directly measuring fuel flow into the engine. The CMB also makes possible the determination of FPC-1's effect upon regulated emissions, specifically smoke for the diesel engine.

This report summarizes and compares the baseline and FPC-1 treated fuel rates of consumption and emissions for a fleet of five (5) Series 60 Detroit powered trucks.

II. Discussion of Carbon Mass Balance Method

The CMB uses state-of-the-art, non-dispersive infrared analysis (NDIR) and the measurement of carbon containing exhaust gases to determine fuel consumption indirectly. The method has been central to the EPA Federal Test Procedures (FTP) and Highway Fuel Economy Test (HFET) since 1974, and is internationally recognized. This method has proven to be at least as accurate as more conventional flowmeter or weigh scale methods (Simpson, SAE Paper 750002, Ford Motor Co.)

All fuel consumption related data used in the CMB calculation are recorded by a technical representative of the testing company, in this case, Mr. Jeff Black. The exhaust gas data

collected during the baseline and treated fuel carbon balance tests are summarized on the attached computer printouts (Appendix 1). From these data, the volume fraction (VF) of each gas is determined and the average molecular weight (Mwt) of the exhaust gases computed. Next, the engine performance factor (pf) or the carbon mass in the exhaust is computed. The pf is finally corrected for exhaust temperature and pressure velocity (exhaust density), and intake air pressure (barometric) and fuel density, yielding a engine performance factor (PF) or carbon mass flow rate corrected for total exhaust mass flow and fuel energy content.

The PFs are shown on the bottom of the computer printouts found in Appendix 1. A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption. The CMB calculations and legend are found on Figure 1 under Appendix 2. A sample calculation is found on Figure 2, also under Appendix 2.

These calculations were provided for UHI by Dr. Geoffrey J. Germane, PhD. Mechanical Engineering, and Department Chair at Brigham Young University, as the technical approach for the CMB. Dr. Germane's resume is also included in Appendix 2.

Correction for Fuel Density

Dr. Germane's formula assumes a fuel density of 0.82 (specific gravity of diesel). UHI engineers measure actual fuel specific gravity by taking samples from the rolling tank on each truck. Only the treated fuel-rate of fuel consumption or PF (PF2) is corrected for changes in fuel density (energy content). The baseline fuel density is used as the reference. The correction factor (if applicable) for fuel density is shown on the treated fuel database computer printouts.

Correction for Barometric Pressure and Ambient (intake air) Temperature

The barometric pressure is used in the calculation of both the baseline and treated fuel Pfs. These pressure readings were taken from the National Weather Service for the Bentonville area. The weather data are found under Appendix 3. The corrected barometric pressure is shown on the treated fuel computer printouts.

Ambient temperature changes are corrected for in the calculation of the exhaust mass flowrate since changes in intake air temperature will be reflected in the exhaust temperature.

III. Discussion of Smoke and Carbon Monoxide

Smoke

Smoke is a product of incomplete combustion, and as such, is a measure of engine efficiency. Smoke is simply unburned fuel droplets not consumed during the final phase or tail of combustion when combustion temperatures are significantly lower, and most of the oxygen in the combustion chamber has been expended. The FPC-1 catalyst improves the oxidation of

these fuel droplets, extracting more useful energy and reducing smoke emissions.

Smoke from the engines tested during the baseline and treated fuel tests was collected inside a 25 micron in-line filter. The filter has a clear housing making possible a visual comparison between the baseline and treated filters. The baseline filter was much darker than the treated filter, indicating a reduced concentration of the smoke particles in the exhaust of the FPC-1 treated fuel. This is even more remarkable when considering that the intake air temperature was lower, and the fuel density greater for the treated test. Both of these parameters would normally lead to greater smoke density in the exhaust.

Carbon Monoxide

Carbon monoxide (CO) were extremely low during the baseline fuel test (approx. 0.02%). The 0.02% average reading is among the lowest CO concentrations ever registered by UHI Corporation engineers.

During the treated fuel portion of the test, CO concentrations increased. This occurred because of the colder temperatures, and more dense fuel. Both parameters contribute to CO production.

Note: CO even increased in Unit 002, where fuel consumption was reduced 13% after changes made to the injection system (see IV. Discussion, Unit 002).

Had the intact air temperature and fuel density been equal to that of the baseline, CO would have been as low or lower than the baseline. Prior tests on hundreds of trucks, electronically controlled and mechanical, and in independent laboratories show CO is reduced as much as 20% after FPC-1 fuel treatment.

IV. Discussion of Fuel Consumption

Unit 002

Unit 002 showed a large change in fuel consumption during the FPC-1 treated segment of the test. This change fell well outside of the normal pattern of fuel consumption reduction observed in many prior tests with FPC-1 in Series 60 engines.

The aberration was brought the attention of Mr. Jeff Black by Craig Flinders, Technician for UHI Corporation and FPC-1. Mr. Black investigated and found that an overhead had been done on Unit 002 just the Sunday before, and that the injector travel had been adjusted dramatically. Therefore, at least some of the reduction was created by a mechanical alteration to the fuel supply system.

Because it is impossible to know the absolute change in fuel consumption caused by the

adjustments and therefore, the degree of change created by FPC-1 alone, UHI recommends Unit 002 be removed from the test sample.

The ability of the CMB method and instruments to pickup a change in fuel consumption created by a mechanical alteration to the injection system reinforces the reliability of the test method and the results obtained.

Units 134, 010, 272, and 285

After correcting for changes in fuel density, intake air temperature, and pressure (barometric) from the baseline, and removing Unit 002 from the sample, the fleet realized a 5.2% reduction in fuel consumption with FPC-1 fuel treatment.

V. Observations by Wal-Mart Personnel

Increased Horsepower

Mr. Jeff Black reported that approximately 70% of the drivers have commented the trucks are more responsive and powerful. The electronic controls on the Series 60 engine (DDEC) carefully control fuel flow and power output, and would electronically reduce the fuel flow to the injectors when using FPC-1 treated fuel. Therefore, any reported increase in power would likely come as a result of FPC-1 removing carbon coking from the injector orifices. The injection plume would be returned to normal, as would the volume of fuel entering the combustion chamber. This would reverse the decline in horsepower and engine efficiency oftentimes observed in electronically controlled diesel engines due to carbon deposits.

Note: The same combustion chemistry responsible for the formation of smoke particles (cold end combustion) is also responsible for the deposition of carbon on injectors, valves, valve seats, piston crowns, and ring zone areas. By reversing the trend towards carbon deposit formation, and preventing future buildup, proper injector performance will be maintained, and engine component life extended.

VI. Conclusions

- (1) Fuel consumption was reduced 5.2% after FPC-1 fuel treatment.
- (2) Smoke density in the exhaust was reduced after FPC-1 treatment.
- (3) Drivers report greater horsepower output with FPC-1 treated fuel, a likely results of FPC-1's ability to removed carbon deposits on injectors and prevent their future buildup.

Appendix 1

Company Name: Wal-Mart **Location:** Bentonville **Date:** 12/13/94
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam.:** 5 Inches
Engine Type: Detroit S-60 **Mile/Hrs:** 262365
Equipment Type: Over the Road Trucking **ID #:** 3*134 **Baro:** 30.23
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG): .841 **Temp:** **Time:** 1040

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
1800	288.8	0.8	0.02	4	1.53	18.2	
1800	284.4	0.9	0.03	6	1.43	18.3	
1800	284.2	0.9	0.03	6	1.48	18.4	
1800	283.8	0.9	0.03	9	1.49	18.3	
1800	286.4	0.9	0.02	6	1.51	18.3	
1800	288.6	0.9	0.02	6	1.53	18.3	
1800	288.8	0.9	0.03	5	1.44	18.3	
1800	285.4	0.9	0.03	6	1.46	18.3	
1800	285.2	0.9	0.03	6	1.48	18.3	
1800.000	286.178	.889	.027	6.000	1.483	18.300	Mean
0	2.060	.033	.005	1.323	.036	.050	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 6.00E-06 0.000266667 .015 .183 28.970 427,037 524,147

Company Name: Wal-Mart **Location:** Bentonville **Test Date:** 3/1/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam.:** 5 Inches
Engine Type: Detroit S-60 **Mile/Hrs:** 290270
Equipment Type: Over the Road Trucking **ID #:** 3*134 **Baro:** 30.37
Fuel Sp. Gravity: .845 **Temp:** **Time:** 1400
SG Corr Factor: .995

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
1800	255.6	0.88	0.04	6	1.38	18.9	
1800	255.2	0.88	0.04	6	1.38	18.7	
1800	256.4	0.9	0.04	6	1.39	8.9	
1800	256.7	0.9	0.04	6	1.4	18.9	
1800	257.8	0.9	0.04	6	1.4	18.9	
1800	258.4	0.88	0.04	6	1.39	19	
1800	258.6	0.88	0.04	6	1.39	19	
1800	258	0.88	0.04	6	1.4	19	
1800	258.8	0.88	0.04	6	1.39	19	
1800	258.4	0.9	0.04	6	1.39	19	
1800.000	257.390	.888	.040	6.000	1.391	17.930	Mean
0	1.312	.010	.000	.000	.007	3.174	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 6.00E-06 0.0004 .014 .179 28.940 450,089 543,204

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

540,621

****% Change PF = 3.14 %**

** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

Appendix 2

Figure 1
CARBON MASS BALANCE FORMULAE

ASSUMPTIONS: $C_{12}H_{26}$ and $SG = 0.82$
Time is constant
Load is constant

DATA:

Mwt = Molecular Weight
 pf1 = Calculated Performance Factor (Baseline)
 pf2 = Calculated Performance Factor (Treated)
 PF1 = Performance Factor (adjusted for Baseline exhaust mass)
 PF2 = Performance Factor (adjusted for Treated exhaust mass)
 CFM = Volumetric Flow Rate of the Exhaust
 SG = Specific Gravity of the Fuel
 VF = Volume Fraction
 d = Exhaust stack diameter in inches
 Pv = Velocity pressure in inches of H_2O
 Pb = Barometric pressure in inches of mercury
 Te = Exhaust temperature $^{\circ}F$
 VFHC = "reading" \div 1,000,000
 VFCO = "reading" \div 100
 VF CO_2 = "reading" \div 100
 VFO $_2$ = "reading" \div 100

EQUATIONS:

$$Mwt = (VFHC)(86) + (VFCO)(28) + (VFCO_2)(44) + (VFO_2)(32) + [(1 - VFHC - VFCO - VFCO_2 - VFO_2)(28)]$$

$$pf1 \text{ or } pf2 = \frac{3099.6 \times Mwt}{86(VFHC) + 13.89(VFCO) + 13.89(VFCO_2)}$$

$$CFM = \frac{(d/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{Pv}{1.325(Pb/Te + 460)}} \right)$$

$$PF1 \text{ or } PF2 = \frac{pf \times (Te + 460)}{CFM}$$

FUEL ECONOMY:
 PERCENT INCREASE (OR DECREASE) $\frac{PF2 - PF1}{PF1} \times 100$

Figure 2.

SAMPLE CALCULATION FOR THE CARBON MASS BALANCE

BASELINE:

Equation 1 (Volume Fractions)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFHC} &= 13.20/1,000,000 \\ &= 0.0000132 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFCO} &= 0.017/100 \\ &= 0.00017 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFCO}_2 &= 1.937/100 \\ &= 0.01937 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFO}_2 &= 17.10/100 \\ &= 0.171 \end{aligned}$$

Equation 2 (Molecular Weight)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mwt1} &= (0.0000132)(86) + (0.00017)(28) + (0.01937)(44) + (0.171)(32) \\ &\quad + [(1-0.0000132-0.00017-0.01937-0.171)(28)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Mwt1} = 28.995$$

Equation 3 (Calculated Performance Factor)

$$\text{pf1} = \frac{3099.6 \times 28.995}{86(0.0000132) + 13.89(0.00017) + 13.89(0.01937)}$$

$$\text{pf1} = 329,809$$

Equation 4 (CFM Calculations)

$$\text{CFM} = \frac{(d/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{P_v}{1.325(P_b/Te + 460)}} \right)$$

- d = Exhaust stack diameter in inches
P_v = Velocity pressure in inches of H₂O
P_b = Barometric pressure in inches of mercury
T_e = Exhaust temperature °F

$$\text{CFM} = \frac{(10/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{.80}{1.325(30.00/313.100 + 460)}} \right)$$

CFM = 2358.37

Equation 5 (Corrected Performance Factor)

$$\text{PF1} = \frac{329,809(313.1 \text{ deg F} + 460)}{2358.37 \text{ CFM}}$$

PF1 = 108,115

TREATED:

Equation 1 (Volume Fractions)

VFHC = 14.6/1,000,000
= 0.0000146

VFCO = .013/100
= 0.00013

VFCO₂ = 1.826/100
= 0.01826

VFO₂ = 17.17/100
= 0.1717

Equation 2 (Molecular Weight)

$$\text{Mwt2} = (0.0000146)(86) + (0.00013)(28) + (0.01826)(44) + (0.1717)(32) + [(1-0.0000146-0.00013-0.01826-0.1717)(28)]$$

$$\text{Mwt2} = 28.980$$

Equation 3 (Calculated Performance Factor)

$$\text{pf2} = \frac{3099.6 \times 28.980}{86(0.0000146) + 13.89(0.00013) + 13.89(0.01826)}$$

$$\text{pf2} = 349,927$$

Equation 4 (CFM Calculations)

$$\text{CFM} = \frac{(d/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{P_v}{1.325(P_b/T_e + 460)}} \right)$$

- d = Exhaust stack diameter in inches
P_v = Velocity pressure in inches of H₂O
P_b = Barometric pressure in inches of mercury
T_e = Exhaust temperature °F

$$\text{CFM} = \frac{(10/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{.775}{1.325(29.86/309.02 + 460)}} \right)$$

$$\text{CFM} = 2320.51$$

Equation 5 (Corrected Performance Factor)

$$\text{PF2} = \frac{349,927(309.02 \text{ deg F} + 460)}{2320.51 \text{ CFM}}$$

$$= 115,966$$

Fuel Specific Gravity Correction Factor

Baseline Fuel Specific Gravity - Treated Fuel Specific Gravity / Baseline Fuel Specific Gravity + 1

$$.840 - .837 / .840 + 1 = 1.0036$$

$$PF2 = 115,966 \times \text{Specific Gravity Correction}$$

$$PF2 = 115,966 \times 1.0036$$

$$PF2 = 116,384$$

Equation 6 (Percent Change in Engine Performance Factor:)

$$\% \text{ Change PF} = \frac{PF2 - PF1}{PF1} \times 100$$

$$\begin{aligned} \% \text{ Change PF} &= [(116,384 - 108,115) / 108,115] (100) \\ &= +7.65 \end{aligned}$$

Note: A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

Abbreviated Resume -- February 1994

Geoffrey J. Germane, Ph.D.
1790 North 120 East
Orem, Utah 84057

Professor and Chair, Department of Mechanical Engineering
242 CB
Brigham Young University
Provo, Utah 84602
(801) 378-6536

Born July 3, 1950 in Cleveland, Ohio; U.S. Citizen; Married

Appointments at Brigham Young University

Assistant Professor of Mechanical Engineering, September 1979
Associate Professor of Mechanical Engineering, September 1984
Professor of Mechanical Engineering, 1993
Chair, Department of Mechanical Engineering, BYU, August 1991 - present

Education

High School - Mayfield High School, Mayfield Village, Ohio, 1968.
B.S. Mechanical Engineering - Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology, May, 1972.
M.S. Mechanical Engineering - Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology, May, 1975.
Ph.D. Mechanical Engineering - Brigham Young University, Apr., 1979.

Honorary and Professional Society Memberships

The Society of Sigma Xi
Society of Automotive Engineers
Pi Tau Sigma
Phi Kappa Phi
American Society for Engineering Education

Honors and Awards

- Pi Tau Sigma, National Mechanical Engineering Honorary
- Elected to Phi Kappa Phi, 1977
- Elected to Sigma Xi, 1979
- BYU Sigma Xi Engineering Dissertation of the Year, 1978
- Society of Automotive Engineers Teetor Award for Engineering Educators, 1981
- Outstanding Young Men of America, 1981
- Esquire Registry, "The Best of the New Generation," December, 1984
- Outstanding Teacher, Mechanical Engineering Department, 1985-86
- Outstanding Teacher, Mechanical Engineering Department, 1988-89

Related Experience and Employment

- Consultant to numerous law firms (motor vehicle accident reconstruction, industrial accident reconstruction, power plant accident reconstruction, and mechanical design analysis), 1981 - present
- Consultant, Collision Safety Engineering, Orem, Utah (automotive crash analysis and safety; motor vehicle accident reconstruction and design analyses; safety research), 1980 - 1991
- Board of Scientists, SEMA Foundation (automotive equipment safety specifications), 1980 - 1984
- Technical Advisory Committee, SFI Foundation (motor vehicle aftermarket and racing equipment safety specifications), 1989 - present
- Consultant, National Hot Rod Association (fuels certification supervision and safety), 1973 - present
- Consultant, UHI corporation (manufacturing, supervision of product evaluation and technical personnel), 1980 - present
- Consultant, SNOWMOCROSS (engineering design), 1984
- Consultant, Health Care Group (medical products), 1981 - 1984
- Consultant, Deseret Professional (general engineering development), 1979 - 1985
- Member, Utah Legislative Committee on Alternate Fuels, 1979
- Research advisor to Collision Safety Engineering Bio-headform project, 1985-1991
- Consultant, Utah Power and Light Co., 1980 - 1985
- Consultant, Carvern Petrochemical (fuel additives), 1980 - 1985
- Consultant, Hercules, Inc. (fuels evaluation supervision), 1979 - 1980
- Consultant, Public Service of New Mexico (Coal Pulverizer inerting systems), 1980
- Consultant, H.C. Sleigh, Melbourne, Australia (fuel additives evaluation procedures), 1980
- Consultant, Biomass Inc. (alcohol fuels), 1980

- Consultant, Angus Chemical Co., Nitromethane combustion in engines, at BYU, 1983 - 1987
- Member, Utah State Tax Recodification Task Force, member of task committee, 1988
- Member, Utah Legislative Committee on Alternate Fuels, 1979

Publications

1. Germane, G.J., "The Effect of Acetic Acid Upon the Antiknock Properties of Methylcyclopentadienyl Manganese Tricarbonyl in Hydrocarbon Fuels," M.S. Thesis, Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology, Terre Haute, IN, December, 1974.
2. Germane, G.J., "Computer Controlled Dynamic Tests with Motoring of an Internal combustion Engine with Alternate Fuels," Ph.D. Dissertation, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, December 1978.
3. Germane, G.J., Free, J.C., and Heaton, H.S., "General Nonlinear Dynamic Characterization of an Internal Combustion Engine Electrical Dynamometer System," Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Pittsburgh Conference, Instrument Society of America, Pittsburgh, PA, March, 1979.
4. Germane, G.J., and Heaton, H.S., "Dynamic Tests with Ethanol and Methanol in Hydrocarbon Fuel," Mechanical Engineering Report ER-1, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, May, 1979.
5. Smoot, L.D., Germane, G.J., Cannon, J.N., and Trost, L.C., "Pulverized Coal Power Plant Fires and Explosions," Summary Report Part I, Utah Power and Light Co., Salt Lake City, UT, September, 1979.
6. Germane, G.J., and Heaton, H.S., "A Dynamic Engine Test Facility with Motoring Using a Digital Computer," SAE Paper 800412, Society of Automotive Engineers International Congress and Exposition, Detroit, MI, February, 1980.
7. Germane, G.J., Smoot, L.D., Cannon, J.N., and Trost, L.C., "Pulverized Coal Power Plant Fires and Explosions," Summary Report Part II, Utah Power and Light Co., Salt Lake City, UT, January, 1980.
8. Germane, G.J., and Heaton, H.S., "The Effect of Alcohol Fuels Under Dynamic Operating Conditions on Engine Efficiency and Emissions," Fourth International Symposium on Alcohol Fuels Technology, Sao Paulo, Brazil, October, 1980.
9. Germane, G.J., Smoot, L.D., Cannon, J.N., Cutler, R.P., and Schramm, D.E., "Pulverized Coal Power Plant Fires and Explosions," Summary Report Part III, Utah Power and Light Co., Salt Lake City, UT, April, 1981.
10. Cannon, J.N., Germane, G.J., Cutler, R.P., Schramm, D.E., Carr, D.G., and Smoot, L.D., "Pulverized Coal Power Plant Fires and Explosions," Summary Report Part IV, Utah Power and Light Co., Salt Lake City, UT, April, 1981.
11. Germane, G.J., et.al., "Coal-Water Mixture Combustion Studies in a Laboratory Cylindrical Combustor," Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Coal Slurry Combustion, Orlando, FL, May, 1982.
12. Germane, G.J. and Parry, D.L., "Analysis of a Carbon Gasifier for International Combustion Engine Application," Utah Power and Light Co., Salt Lake City, UT, May, 1982.
13. Cannon, J.N., Germane, G.J., Smoot, L.D., Nye, C.N., and Spackman, H.M., "Pulverized Coal Power Plant Fires and Explosions," Summary Report Part VI, Utah Power and Light Co., Salt Lake City, UT, May, 1982.
14. Germane, G.J., et.al., "Reduction in Oil Use in Coal-Fired Utility Boilers," Summary Report Part VII, Utah Power and Light Co., Salt Lake City, UT, August, 1982.
15. Parsons, J.B. and Germane, G.J., "Effect of an Iron-Based Combustion Catalyst on Diesel Fleet Operation," SAE Paper 831204, West Coast International Meeting, Vancouver, B.C., August, 1982. SAE Special Publication SP-548, Fuel Alternatives for Spark Ignition and Diesel Engines.
16. Warner, C.Y., Smith, C.C., James, M.J. and Germane, G.J., "Friction Applications in Automobile Accident Reconstruction," SAE Paper 830612, Society of Automotive Engineers International Congress and Exposition, Detroit, MI, February, 1983.
17. Germane, G.J., "Automotive Racing Fuels - A Technical Analysis and Review," SAE West Coast International Meeting, Vancouver, B.C., August, 1983.
18. Germane, G.J., et.al., "Coal-Water Mixture Laboratory Combustion Studies and Computer Model Predictions," Proceedings of the Fifth International Symposium on Coal Slurry Combustion and Technology, Tampa, FL, April, 1983.

19. Germane, G.J., Smoot, L.D., "Reduction in Oil Use in Coal-Fired Utility Boilers," Paper 83-JPGC-Paper No. 45, Joint Power Generation Conference, Indianapolis, IN, September 26, 1983.
20. Germane, G.J., Smoot, L.D., and Cannon, J.N., "Inerting of Coal Pulverizers," Paper 83-JPGC-Fu-4, ASME Joint Power Generation Conference, Indianapolis, IN, September 27, 1983.
21. Germane, G.J. and Smoot, L.D., "Basic Combustion and Pollutant Formation Processes for Pulverized Fuels," Final Report, U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. FE 22-80PC3033306, October 31, 1983.
22. Germane, G.J., Hess, C.C. and Wood, C.G., "Lean Combustion in Homogeneous Charge Spark Ignition Engines--A Review," SAE Paper 831694, Society of Automotive Engineers Fuels and Lubricants Meeting, San Francisco, CA, November, 1983.
23. Cannon, J.N., Germane, G.J. and Smoot, L.D., "Coal Pulverizer Characteristics for Inerting Systems Design," ASME Paper 83-WA/Fu-2, Winter Annual Meeting, Boston, MA, November, 1983.
24. Germane, G.J., Hess, C.C. and Wood, C.G., "Lean Combustion: A Review, "Automotive Engineering, 92, no. 2, 49-54 (part 1), 1984.
25. Germane, G.J., Hess, C.C. and Wood, C.G., "Lean Combustion: A Review, "Automotive Engineering, 92, no. 3, 53-58 (part 2), 1984.
26. Rawlins, D.C., Richardson, K.H., Germane, G.J., Hedman, P.O., and Smoot, L.D., "Laboratory-Scale Combustion of Coal-Water Mixtures," Western States Section The Combustion Institute, Boulder, CO, April, 1984.
27. Germane, G.J., Rawlins, D.C., Richardson, K.H., and Smoot, L.D., "Space Resolved Coal-Water Mixture Combustion and Pollutant Formation Studies in a Laboratory Scale Furnace," Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Coal Slurry Combustion and Technology, Orlando, Florida, June, 1984.
28. Wille, M.G., and Germane, G.J., "The Conversion Process," Sidney B. Sperry Symposium, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, January, 1985.
29. Germane, G.J., Smoot, L.D., Rawlins, D.C., Jones, R.G., and Eatough, C.N., "Characterization and Combustion of Low Rank Coal-Water Slurries," Proceedings of the Seventh International Symposium on Coal Slurry Fuels Preparation and Utilization, New Orleans, LA, May, 1985.
30. Germane, G.J., "A Technical Review of Automotive Racing Fuels," SAE Paper 852129, Society of Automotive Engineers Fuels and Lubricants Meeting, Tulsa, OK, October, 1985.
31. Bush, K.C., Germane, G.J. and Hess, G.L., "Improved Utilization of Nitromethane as an Internal Combustion Engine Fuel," SAE Paper 852130, Society of Automotive Engineers Fuels and Lubricants Meeting, Tulsa, OK, October, 1985.
32. Rawlins, D.C., Germane, G.J., Hedman, P.O. and Smoot, L.D., "Laboratory-Scale Combustion of Coal-Water Mixtures," Combustion and Flame, 63: 59-72 (1986).
33. Eatough, C.N., Jones, R.J., Rawlins, D.R., Germane, G.J. and Smoot, L.D., "Characterization, Spray Dispersion and Combustion of low Rank Coal-Water Slurries," Proceedings of the Pittsburgh Coal Conference, Pittsburgh, PA, September, 1985.
34. Germane, G.J., "A Technical Review of Automotive Racing Fuels," Society of Automotive Engineers Transactions (1985), Society of Automotive Engineers Fuels and Lubricants Journal, 1, 876-878, 1985.
35. Vorwaller, M.A. and Germane, G.J., "Aerodynamic Drag Studies on Rolling Vehicles by Underwater Tow Testing," Society of Automotive Engineers Transactions , (1986).
36. Warner, C.Y., Allsop, D.L. and Germane, G.J., "Frontal Structural Crush Rate Sensitivity," Society of Automotive Engineers Transactions , (1986).
37. Eatough, C.N., Germane, G.J. and Smoot, L.D., "Lignite Slurry Atomizer Spray Distribution and Characterization Studies," Proceedings of the Eighth International Symposium on Coal Slurry Fuels Preparation and Utilization, Orlando, FL, May, 1986.

38. Rawlins, D.C., Jones, R.G., Germane, G.J., Smoot, L.D., and Paulson, L.E., "Lignite Coal Water Slurry Combustion Characteristics in a Laboratory-Scale Furnace," Proceedings of the Eighth International Symposium on coal Slurry Fuels Preparation and Utilization, Orlando, FL, May, 1986.
39. Rawlins, D.C., Germane, G.J. and Smoot, L.D., "Low Rank Coal-Water Fuel Combustion in a Laboratory Scale Furnace," Combustion and Flame, 74(3):255-266 (1988).
40. Rawlins, D.C., Smoot, L.D. and Germane, G.J., "Comparison of Combustion Characteristics Between Lignite-Water Slurry and Pulverized Lignite," Western States Section of the Combustion Institute, Salt Lake City, UT, April, 1988.
41. Eatough, C.N., Rawlins, D.C., Germane, G.J., and Smoot, L.D., "Lignite Slurry Spray Characterization and Combustion Studies," Western States Section, The Combustion Institute, Dana Point, CA, October, 1988.
42. Eatough, C.N., Boardman, R.D., Germane, G.J., and Smoot, L.D., "Comparison of Combustion Measurements and Model Predictions of Thermal NO_x, Temperatures, Velocities and Major Species in a Swirling Natural Gas Diffusion Flame," First International Conference on Combustion Technologies for a Clean Environment, Vilamoura - Portugal, 3-6 September 1991.
43. Cope, R.F., Monson, C.R., Hecker, W.C., and Germane, G.J., "Improved Temperature, Velocity and Diameter Measurements for Char Particles in Drop-Tube Reactors," Western States Section of the Combustion Institute, Los Angeles, CA, October, 1991.
44. Boardman, R.D., Eatough, C.N., Germane, G.J., and Smoot, L.D., "Comparison of Measurements and Predictions of Flame Structure and Thermal NO_x in a Swirling, Natural Gas Diffusion Flame", Combust. Sci. and Tech. 93, 1-6, 193.
45. Monson, C. R., Germane, G. J., Blackham, A. U., and Smoot, L. D., "Experimental Char Oxidation at Atmospheric and Elevated Pressures," Western States Section/The Combustion Institute, Berkeley, CA, 12 October 1992.
46. Pyper, D., Blackham, S., Warren, D., Hansen, L., Christensen, J., Haslam, J., Germane, G.J., and Hedman, P.O., "CARS Temperature Measurements in the BYU Controlled Profile Reactor in Natural Gas and Natural Gas-Assisted Coal Flames," Western States Section/The Combustion Institute, Berkeley, CA, 12 October 1992.
47. Germane, G. J., "Thrust Area 6: Model Evaluation Data and Process Strategies," *Energy & Fuels*, 7(6):906-909, November/December 1993.
48. Monson, C. R., and Germane, G. J., "A High Pressure Drop-Tube Facility for Coal Combustion Studies," *Energy & Fuels*, 7(6):928-936, November/December 1993.
49. Sanderson, D. K., and Germane, G. J., "Composition of Combustion Gases and Particles in a Pulverized Coal-Fired Reactor," *Energy & Fuels*, 7(6):910-918, November/December 1993.
50. Monson, C.R., Germane, G. J., Blackham, A. U., and Smoot, L. D., "Char Oxidation at Elevated Pressures," accepted for publication in Combustion and Flame.
51. Cope, R.F., Monson, C.R., Hecker, W.C., and Germane, G.J., "Improved Temperature, Velocity and Diameter Measurements for Char Particles in Drop-Tube Reactors," accepted for publication in Energy and Fuels.

Patents

1. "Nitromethane Fuel Compositions," U. S. Patent 4,583,991 granted to Geoff J. Germane and Gary L. Hess, 1986.
2. "Dispenser for Slender Objects," U. S. Patent granted to Geoff J. Germane, Richard D. Ulrich and David B. Anderson, 1982.

Appendix 3

Item: 2 Code: CAR

9:30 AM Tue 13 December ARKANSAS this hour TODAY'S DATA

TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale
Fayetteville	cloudy	31	CALM	31	15	89%	30.26r	31	27	
Fort Smith	light fog	35	NE 5	32	5	85%	30.30r	35	32	
Harrison	light fog	30	NW 6	24	5	82%	30.28r	30	26	
Jonesboro	light fog	31	NE 7	23	5	96%	30.34r	31	26	
Blytheville
Hot Springs	cloudy	35	NE 10	22	11	82%	30.31r	35	31	
Little Rock	haze	34	E 7	26	3	92%	30.31f	34	30	
Jacksonville	light fog	36	NE 8	27	4	89%	30.32s	36	29	
Pine Bluff	light fog	35	NE 6	30	5	92%	30.31s	35	31	
Texarkana	cloudy	38	E 7	31	10	82%	30.28s	38	35	
El Dorado	light fog	37	E 5	34	6	89%	30.31r	37	33	
Stuttgart	no report	34	NE 6	29	7	82%	30.35s	34	30	
Walnut Ridge
Batesville	ptly cldy	31	CALM	31	10	82%	30.34r	31	27	
Flippin	no report	30	E 3	30	7	82%	30.31s	30	26	
Rogers	no report	33	SE 3	33	10	82%	30.23r	33	28	
Siloam Sprngs	no report	32	E 5	29	10	79%	30.25r	32	28	
Bentonville	no report	33	CALM	33	10	82%	30.22r	33	27	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

10:09AM Tue 13 December		ARKANSAS this hour					TODAY'S DATA			
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale									
Fayetteville	cloudy	31	CALM	31	15	89%	30.26r	31	27	
Fort Smith	light fog	35	NE 5	32	5	85%	30.30r	35	32	
Harrison	light fog	30	NW 6	24	5	82%	30.28r	30	26	
Jonesboro	light fog	31	NE 7	23	5	96%	30.34r	31	26	
Blytheville									
Hot Springs	cloudy	35	NE 10	22	11	82%	30.31r	35	31	
Little Rock	haze	34	E 7	26	3	92%	30.31f	34	30	
Jacksonville	light fog	36	NE 8	27	4	89%	30.32s	36	29	
Pine Bluff	light fog	35	NE 6	30	5	92%	30.31s	35	31	
Texarkana	cloudy	38	E 7	31	10	82%	30.28s	38	35	
El Dorado	light fog	37	E 5	34	6	89%	30.31r	37	33	
Stuttgart	no report	34	NE 6	29	7	82%	30.35s	34	30	
Walnut Ridge									
Batesville	ptly cldy	31	CALM	31	10	82%	30.34r	31	27	
Flippin	no report	30	E 3	30	7	82%	30.31s	30	26	
Rogers	no report	33	SE 3	33	10	82%	30.23r	33	28	
Siloam Sprngs	no report	32	E 5	29	10	79%	30.25r	32	28	
Bentonville	no report	33	CALM	33	10	82%	30.22r	33	27	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

10AM Tue 13 December ARKANSAS this hour TODAY'S DATA

TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale	mstly cldy	39 E	6	34	10	62%	30.24f			
Fayetteville	mstly cldy	37 CALM		37	15	62%	30.26f	37	27	
Fort Smith	no report	38 E	7	31	7	76%	30.30s	38	32	
Harrison	cloudy	35 E	5	32	10	79%	30.27f	35	26	
Jonesboro	cloudy	35 NE	8	25	8	79%	30.36f	35	26	
Blytheville									
Hot Springs	cloudy	42 E	11	29	12	63%	30.31f	42	31	
Little Rock	haze	40 E	8	31	6	73%	30.33f	40	30	
Jacksonville	haze	41 E	8	33	6	70%	30.33s	41	29	
Pine Bluff	ptly cldy	43 E	7	37	7	68%	30.32f	43	31	
Texarkana	cloudy	43 E	8	35	10	71%	30.28s	43	35	
El Dorado	cloudy	45 SE	7	39	7	63%	30.30f	45	33	
Stuttgart	no report	37 NE	6	32	10	76%	30.35f	37	30	
Walnut Ridge	no report	31 NE	5G94	28	10		30.33s			
Batesville	no report	33 CALM		33	10	82%	30.34s	33	27	
Flippin	ptly cldy	32 CALM		32	10	79%	30.33r	32	26	
Rogers	no report	36 SE	6	31	10	73%	30.26s	36	28	
Siloam Sprngs	no report	35 SE	3	35	10	73%	30.26f	35	28	
Bentonville	no report	36 SE	3	36	10	76%	30.24s	36	27	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

		ARKANSAS this hour						TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale	cloudy	42	SE 6	37	10	55%	30.23f			
Fayetteville	mstly cldy	45	CALM	45	15	54%	30.22f	45	27	
Fort Smith	ptly cldy	42	E 6	37	10	71%	30.25f	42	32	
Harrison	cloudy	37	E 6	32	10	73%	30.23f	37	26	
Jonesboro	mstly cldy	37	NE 8	28	8	79%	30.31f	37	26	
Blytheville									
Hot Springs	cloudy	46	E 9	37	12	58%	30.25f	46	31	
Little Rock	cloudy	42	E 7	35	7	68%	30.29f	42	29	
Jacksonville	light fog	42	E 5	40	6	65%	30.28f	42	29	
Pine Bluff	cloudy	44	E 3	44	10	58%	30.28f	44	31	
Texarkana	mstly cldy	45	E 6	41	10	65%	30.22f	45	35	
El Dorado	cloudy	47	NE 7	41	7	56%	30.26f	47	33	
Stuttgart	no report	41	NE 5	38	10	60%	30.31f	42	30	
Walnut Ridge	ptly cldy	33	NE 5	30	10		30.32f			
Batesville	ptly cldy	36	CALM	36	10	73%	30.31s	36	27	
Flippin	no report	36	CALM	36	10	67%	30.27f	36	26	
Rogers	no report	43	CALM	43	10	56%	30.21s	43	28	
Siloam Sprngs	no report	41	S 3	41	10	62%	30.23f	41	28	
Bentonville	no report	43	S 6	38	10	56%	30.19s	43	27	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

2PM Tue 13 December		ARKANSAS this hour						TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale	cloudy	45	SW 5	43	10	49%	30.18f			
Fayetteville	cloudy	45	SW 6	41	15	54%	30.19f	46	27	
Fort Smith	mstly cldy	43	E 5	41	10	65%	30.22f	43	32	
Harrison	mstly cldy	42	SE 8	34	10	65%	30.21f	42	26	
Jonesboro	cloudy	38	NE 8	29	8	76%	30.28f	38	26	
Blytheville									
Hot Springs	cloudy	46	E 8	38	12	58%	30.23f	47	31	
Little Rock	cloudy	42	E 6	37	7	63%	30.26f	43	29	
Jacksonville	haze	43	NE 5	41	6	65%	30.25f	43	29	
Pine Bluff	mstly cldy	45	CALM	45	10	58%	30.24f	45	31	
Texarkana	cloudy	47	NE 6	43	10	63%	30.21f	47	35	
El Dorado	cloudy	47	N 5	45	7	61%	30.24r	47	33	
Stuttgart	no report	42	NE 5	40	10	58%	30.28r	43	30	
Walnut Ridge	ptly cldy	35	N 6	30	10	100%	30.29s	35	30	0.04
Batesville	no report	38	E 3	38		65%	30.27s	38	27	
Flippin	no report	39	NE 3	39	10	60%	30.25s	40	26	
Rogers	no report	43	SW 3	43	10	53%	30.17s	44	28	
Siloam Sprngs	no report	43	CALM	43	10	56%	30.18s	43	28	
Bentonville	no report	43	CALM	43	10	53%	30.15f	44	27	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

3PM Tue 13 December		ARKANSAS this hour						TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale	cloudy	45	SW 5	43	10	49%	30.18f			
Fayetteville	cloudy	45	CALM	45	15	51%	30.18f	46	27	
Fort Smith	cloudy	43	E 7	37	10	68%	30.22s	43	32	
Harrison	mstly cldy	39	SE 6	34	10	67%	30.21s	42	26	
Jonesboro	cloudy	37	NE 7	30	8	82%	30.30r	38	26	
Blytheville
Hot Springs	cloudy	45	SE 6	41	12	63%	30.24r	47	31	
Little Rock	cloudy	42	E 5	40	7	65%	30.26s	43	29	
Jacksonville	haze	42	NE 7	35	6	68%	30.26r	43	29	
Pine Bluff	cloudy	45	NE 5	43	10	58%	30.25r	45	31	
Texarkana	cloudy	45	NE 7	39	10	74%	30.21s	47	35	
El Dorado	cloudy	47	N 6	43	7	63%	30.24s	47	33	
Stuttgart	no report	42	NE 3	42	10	58%	30.28s	43	30	
Walnut Ridge	no report	35	N 5	32	10	100%	30.29s	35	30	0.04
Batesville	no report	37	CALM	37	10	67%	30.27f	38	27	
Flippin	no report	37	CALM	37	10	65%	30.25r	40	26	
Rogers	ptly cldy	42	S 3	42	10	58%	30.17s	44	28	
Siloam Sprngs	ptly cldy	42	CALM	42	10	60%	30.19s	43	28	
Bentonville	no report	43	CALM	43	10	56%	30.15s	44	27	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

8AM Wed 1 March

ARKANSAS this hour

TODAY'S DATA

TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale									
Fayetteville	cloudy	27	NE 15	5	15	72%	30.42r	30	27	
Fort Smith	cloudy	35	N 14	17	10	73%	30.42r	37	35	
Harrison	cloudy	26	N 11	9	15	66%	30.42r	35	26	
Jonesboro	cloudy	33	N 17	11	7	89%	30.41r	36	33	
Blytheville									
Hot Springs	cloudy	37	N 11G21	23	12	76%	30.39r	40	37	
Little Rock	cloudy	37	NE 13	21	7	76%	30.39r	39	37	
Jacksonville	light fog	29	N 14	9	6	92%	30.40r	40	29	
Pine Bluff	cloudy	39	N 17	19	7	76%	30.37r	41	39	
Texarkana	cloudy	39	NE 16	20	7	76%	30.36r	47	39	
El Dorado	cloudy	40	N 14G18	23	10	73%	30.35r	45	39	
Stuttgart	cloudy	37	N 18	16	10	70%	30.38s	39	37	
Walnut Ridge	mstly cldy	33	N 15	13	10	67%	30.43s	36	32	
Batesville	cloudy	33	N 9G16	21	10	59%	30.42s	37	33	
Flippin	cloudy	29	N 8	18	10	53%	30.44s	34	29	
Rogers	cloudy	23	N 14	1	10	68%	30.42s	28	22	
Siloam Sprngs	mstly cldy	25	NE 14G17	4	10	60%	30.44s	29	24	
Bentonville	cloudy	25	N 14	4	10	63%	30.41r	29	24	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

10AM Wed 1 March		ARKANSAS this hour						TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale	cloudy	27	NE 14	6	15	55%	30.42s			
Fayetteville	cloudy	29	NE 14	9	15	63%	30.42f	30	27	
Fort Smith	cloudy	36	N 9	25	10	70%	30.42s	37	35	
Harrison	cloudy	25	N 11	8	15	72%	30.45r	35	25	
Jonesboro	cloudy	35	N 14	17	9	64%	30.42s	36	33	
Blytheville									
Hot Springs	cloudy	38	NE 15	20	12	67%	30.41r	40	37	
Little Rock	cloudy	38	N 13	22	7	70%	30.41s	39	37	
Jacksonville	cloudy	39	N 11	26	7	67%	30.41s	40	29	
Pine Bluff	cloudy	39	N 17	19	7	76%	30.38s	41	39	
Texarkana	cloudy	42	NE 14	26	7	71%	30.37s	47	39	
El Dorado	cloudy	42	N 13	27	10	68%	30.37rr	45	39	
Stuttgart	cloudy	38	N 21	15	10	62%	30.39s	39	37	
Walnut Ridge	cloudy	32	N 15	12	10	59%	30.44s	36	32	
Batesville	cloudy	34	NW 9	23	10	54%	30.43r	37	33	
Flippin	cloudy	29	N 11	13	10	47%	30.47s	34	29	
Rogers	ptly cldy	27	N 11G16	11	10	58%	30.43s	28	22	
Siloam Sprngs	cloudy	27	NE 11	11	10	61%	30.44s	29	24	
Bentonville	cloudy	27	N 13G16	8	10	58%	30.41s	29	24	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

11AM Wed 1 March

ARKANSAS this hour

TODAY'S DATA

TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale	cloudy	29	NE 14	9	15	53%	30.41f			
Fayetteville	cloudy	31	NE 15	10	15	56%	30.43r	31	26	
Fort Smith	cloudy	39	E 11	26	10	60%	30.41f	39	35	
Harrison	cloudy	28	N 10	13	20	53%	30.43f	35	25	
Jonesboro	cloudy	33	NE 13	15	9	61%	30.42s	36	31	
Blytheville									
Hot Springs	cloudy	39	NE 14	22	12	65%	30.39f	40	37	
Little Rock	cloudy	39	N 11	26	7	65%	30.41s	39	37	
Jacksonville	cloudy	40	N 10	28	7	60%	30.41s	40	29	
Pine Bluff	cloudy	39	N 15	21	7	76%	30.39r	41	39	
Texarkana	cloudy	44	NE 9	35	7	65%	30.36f	47	39	
El Dorado	cloudy	42	N 13	27	10	68%	30.37rr	45	39	
Stuttgart	cloudy	38	N 17	18	10	62%	30.40r	39	37	
Walnut Ridge	cloudy	32	NE 17	10	10	54%	30.44s	36	32	
Batesville	cloudy	34	N 7	26	10	52%	30.44r	37	33	
Flippin	cloudy	31	N 7	23	10	47%	30.45f	34	29	
Rogers	ptly cldy	29	NE 14G20	9	10	56%	30.42f	29	22	
Siloam Sprngs	mstly cldy	29	N 11G16	13	10	58%	30.44s	29	24	
Bentonville	ptly cldy	28	NE 14	8	10	51%	30.40f	29	24	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

12PM Wed 1 March		ARKANSAS this hour						TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale	cloudy	29	NE 14	9	15	53%	30.41f			
Fayetteville	cloudy	33	NE 11	18	15	54%	30.40f	33	26	
Fort Smith	cloudy	39	NE 10	27	10	55%	30.40f	39	35	
Harrison	cloudy	28	N 10	13	20	53%	30.43f	35	25	
Jonesboro	cloudy	35	N 15	16	10	64%	30.41f	36	31	
Blytheville									
Hot Springs	cloudy	39	NE 14	22	15	65%	30.38f	40	37	
Little Rock	cloudy	40	NE 11	27	7	60%	30.39f	40	37	
Jacksonville	cloudy	40	N 10	28	7	60%	30.41s	40	29	
Pine Bluff	cloudy	39	N 16	20	7	76%	30.37f	41	39	
Texarkana	cloudy	44	NE 9	35	7	65%	30.36f	47	39	
El Dorado	cloudy	44	NE 8	36	10	68%	30.35f	45	39	
Stuttgart	cloudy	38	N 17	18	10	60%	30.39f	39	37	
Walnut Ridge	cloudy	33	N 13	15	10	52%	30.44s	36	32	
Batesville	cloudy	35	NW 8	25	10	52%	30.44s	37	33	
Flippin	cloudy	31	N 7	23	10	45%	30.45s	34	29	
Rogers	mstly cldy	28	NE 11	12	10	53%	30.41f	29	22	
Siloam Sprngs	mstly cldy	29	NE 13	10	10	56%	30.43f	29	24	
Bentonville	ptly cldy	29	NE 10	15	10	51%	30.40s	29	24	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

2PM Wed 1 March

ARKANSAS this hour

TODAY'S DATA

TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale	cloudy	31	N 17	8	15	45%	30.35f			
Fayetteville	cloudy	33	N 14	14	15	54%	30.36f	33	26	
Fort Smith	cloudy	41	NE 10	29	10	51%	30.35f	41	35	
Harrison	cloudy	32	N 13	14	20	42%	30.37f	35	25	
Jonesboro	cloudy	39	NE 15	21	12	45%	30.36f	39	31	
Blytheville									
Hot Springs	cloudy	41	NE 7	34	25	55%	30.33s	41	37	
Little Rock	cloudy	41	NE 9	31	7	49%	30.36r	42	37	
Jacksonville	cloudy	41	N 16	23	7	51%	30.35f	41	29	
Pine Bluff	cloudy	41	N 16	23	7	62%	30.33f	41	39	
Texarkana	cloudy	45	NE 9	36	7	65%	30.30f	47	39	
El Dorado	cloudy	42	N 8	34	10	71%	30.32s	45	39	
Stuttgart	cloudy	39	N 18	18	10	53%	30.34f	39	37	
Walnut Ridge	cloudy	37	N 10	25	10	46%	30.38f	37	32	
Batesville	cloudy	36	N 5	33	10	42%	30.38s	37	33	
Flippin	cloudy	36	NW 3	36	10	37%	30.38s	36	29	
Rogers	cloudy	28	N 13G21	9	10	51%	30.37r	29	22	
Siloam Sprngs	mstly cldy	29	N 14	9	10	49%	30.38s	30	24	
Bentonville	ptly cldy	29	NE 13	10	10	49%	30.35s	30	24	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

3PM Wed 1 March		ARKANSAS this hour						TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale	cloudy	30	NE 14	10	15	45%	30.35s			
Fayetteville	cloudy	33	N 14	14	15	54%	30.36f	33	26	
Fort Smith	cloudy	40	NE 10	28	10	51%	30.35s	41	35	
Harrison	cloudy	33	N 11	18	20	44%	30.36f	35	25	
Jonesboro	cloudy	39	NE 15	21	12	45%	30.36f	39	31	
Blytheville
Hot Springs	cloudy	41	NE 7	34	25	55%	30.33s	41	37	
Little Rock	cloudy	41	NE 9	31	7	49%	30.36r	42	37	
Jacksonville	cloudy	40	E 11	27	7	49%	30.37r	41	29	
Pine Bluff	cloudy	42	N 9G18	32	7	63%	30.33s	42	39	
Texarkana	cloudy	45	E 11	33	7	65%	30.29f	47	39	
El Dorado	cloudy	42	N 11	29	10	71%	30.30f	45	39	
Stuttgart	cloudy	38	N 15	20	10	50%	30.34s	39	37	
Walnut Ridge	ptly cldy	40	N 13G18	24	10	43%	30.37f	40	32	
Batesville	cloudy	36	N 7	29	10	40%	30.37f	37	33	
Flippin	cloudy	37	NE 3	37	10	36%	30.38s	37	29	
Rogers	mstly cldy	28	NE 14G20	8	10	49%	30.36f	29	22	
Siloam Sprngs	cloudy	28	NE 13G18	9	10	53%	30.38s	30	24	
Bentonville	mstly cldy	29	N 14	9	10	51%	30.35s	30	24	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

4PM Wed 1 March

ARKANSAS this hour

TODAY'S DATA

TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale	cloudy	29 N	14	9	15	53%	30.34f	32	26	
Fayetteville	lgt snow	32 NE	11	17	15	54%	30.35f	33	26	
Fort Smith	cloudy	40 NE	10	28	10	51%	30.35s	41	35	
Harrison	cloudy	32 N	14	13	20	40%	30.36s	35	25	
Jonesboro	ptly cldy	40 NE	16	21	12	41%	30.36s	40	31	
Blytheville
Hot Springs	cloudy	41 NE	10	29	25	53%	30.33s	41	37	
Little Rock	cloudy	39 NE	10	27	7	51%	30.35f	42	37	
Jacksonville	cloudy	40 N	11	27	7	45%	30.36f	41	29	
Pine Bluff	cloudy	40 N	14G22	23	7	62%	30.34r	42	39	
Texarkana	cloudy	44 NE	9	35	7	68%	30.29s	47	39	
El Dorado	cloudy	42 NE	8	34	10	71%	30.31r	45	39	
Stuttgart	cloudy	37 N	17	16	10	50%	30.35s	39	37	
Walnut Ridge	no report	39 NE	13	23	10	43%	30.38r	40	32	
Batesville	cloudy	36 NW	6	31	10	40%	30.38s	37	33	
Flippin	cloudy	36 N	8	27	10	35%	30.38s	38	29	
Rogers	mstly cldy	27 NE	14G22	6	10	51%	30.35f	29	22	
Siloam Sprngs	no report	28 NE	11G16	12	10	56%	30.37s	30	24	
Bentonville	no report	28 NE	13G18	9	10	51%	30.33f	30	24	

Item: 2 Code: CAR

5PM Wed 1 March

ARKANSAS this hour

TODAY'S DATA

TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Springdale	cloudy	29 N	14	9	15	53%	30.34f	32	26	
Fayetteville	lgt snow	32 NE	11	17	15	54%	30.35f	33	26	
Fort Smith	cloudy	40 NE	10	28	10	51%	30.35s	41	35	
Harrison	cloudy	32 N	14	13	20	40%	30.36s	35	25	
Jonesboro	ptly cldy	40 NE	16	21	12	41%	30.36s	40	31	
Blytheville
Hot Springs	cloudy	41 NE	10	29	25	53%	30.33s	41	37	
Little Rock	cloudy	39 NE	10	27	7	51%	30.35f	42	37	
Jacksonville	cloudy	40 N	11	27	7	45%	30.36f	41	29	
Pine Bluff	cloudy	40 N	14G22	23	7	62%	30.34r	42	39	
Texarkana	cloudy	44 NE	9	35	7	68%	30.29s	47	39	
El Dorado	cloudy	42 NE	8	34	10	71%	30.31r	45	39	
Stuttgart	cloudy	37 N	17	16	10	50%	30.35s	39	37	
Walnut Ridge	no report	39 NE	13	23	10	43%	30.38r	40	32	
Batesville	cloudy	36 NW	6	31	10	40%	30.38s	37	33	
Flippin	cloudy	36 N	8	27	10	35%	30.38s	38	29	
Rogers	mstly cldy	27 NE	14G22	6	10	51%	30.35f	29	22	
Siloam Sprngs	no report	28 NE	11G16	12	10	56%	30.37s	30	24	
Bentonville	no report	28 NE	13G18	9	10	51%	30.33f	30	24	

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wat met Location: Bentonville Test Date: 12-13-94
 Test Portion: Baseline: Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 5 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Deere Miles/Hours: 88832 I.D.#: 3010
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: 846 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.24 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 11:10

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
1800	275.6	1.0	.02	4	1.50	18.2	
	276.2	1.0	.02	6	1.51	18.3	
	276.6	1.0	.02	4	1.53	18.3	
	277.0	.95	.02	5	1.53	18.3	
	277.8	.95	.02	6	1.39	17.0	
	286.0	.95	.03	6	1.46	18.4	
	277.2	.95	.02	6	1.50	18.4	
	276.6	.95	.02	5	1.50	18.4	
1800	277.89	.971	.021	5.14	1.504	18.34	

After Fuel Filter change
 1800 RPM programmed by DOR
 Eng. fan on
 Cruise on
 Fast idle on

Acc: _____

End Time 11:24

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wal-Mart Location: Bentonville Test Date: 3-1-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: 60 Series DDC Miles/Hours: 118,434 I.D.#: 3-010
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: .85 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.4 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: 43° (°F) Start Time: 1:30 PM

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
1500	237.2	.9	.04	4	1.45	18.9	
	253.0	.92	.05	4	1.45	18.9	
	253.0	.9	.04	6	1.45	18.9	
	253.0	.9	.04	6	1.48	18.9	
	255.0	.9	.04	6	1.46	18.9	
	257.0	.9	.03	4	1.44	18.9	
	259.2	.9	.04	6	1.43	18.9	
	259.0	.9	.04	6	1.44	18.9	

J

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

4.578

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wal-Mart Location: Bentonville Test Date: 12-13-94
 Test Portion: Baseline: Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 5 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Detroit 60 Series Miles/Hours: 346,004 I.D.#: 3-282
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.843 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.15 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 2 pm

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number	
1800	281.4	.9	.03	5	1.62	17.7		
	282.2	.9	.03	5	1.62	18.2		
	283.4	.9	.03	5	1.62	18.1		
	286.4	.9	.03	5	1.61	18.2		
	288.2	.85	.03	5	1.61	18.1		
	290.2	.85	.03	6	1.61	18.0		
	292.0	.85	.03	5	1.61	18.1		
	292.0	.85	.03	5	1.58	18.1		
Ave.:	1800	286.97	.875	.03	5.12	1.610	18.06	

1800
RPM
set by
DDR

✓

Cruise
on
Fast idle on

End Time 2:15 pm

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wal-Mart Location: Bentonville Test Date: 3-1-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 5 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Series 60 Detroit Miles/Hours: 336578 I.D.#: 3-282
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: .856 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 2:35

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
1800	248.8	.86	.05	6	1.45	18.9	
	248.8	.86	.05	6	1.45	18.9	
	248.6	.86	.05	5	1.45	18.9	
	248.6	.86	.05	6	1.45	18.9	
	248.4	.88	.05	4	1.44	19.0	
	248.8	.88	.05	5	1.45	19.0	
	249.6	.88	.05	6	1.45	19.0	
	250.0	.88	.05	6	1.44	19.0	
	249.6	.88	.05	4	1.44	19.0	
	249.4	.86	.05	6	1.44	19.0	

End Time 2:45

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

5.878

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wal-Mart Location: Bentonville Test Date: 12-13-94
 Test Portion: Baseline: Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 5 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Detroit 60 Series Miles/Hours: 282,696 I.D.#: 3-275
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: .847 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.5 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 2:30

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
1800	284	.8	.03	5	1.54	18.2	
	284.8	.8	.03	5	1.55	18.3	
	286.8	.85	.04	6	1.46	18.4	
	283.6	.85	.04	6	1.47	18.3	
	280.0	.85	.04	5	1.50	18.3	
	279.8	.85	.03	4	1.51	18.3	
	281.8	.85	.03	6	1.50	18.3	
	283.2	.85	.03	5	1.52	18.3	
	285.8	.85	.04	5	1.46	18.4	
Ave. : 1800	282.46	.836	.033	5.14	1.513	18.28	

Set w/ DDR

Cruise
Fast
idle
on

eng fan on

eng fan on after readings

End Time 2:50

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wal-Mart Location: Bille Test Date: 3-1-95

Test Portion: Baseline: Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 5 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Sachs 60 DDC Miles/Hours: 330444 I.D.#: 3-275

Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: .851 @: _____ (°F)

Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury

Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 3:00 PM

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
1800	246.1	.82	.05	6	1.35	19.0	
	245.2	.82	.05	6	1.34	19.0	
	244.2	.84	.05	6	1.34	19.0	
	244.0	.84	.05	6	1.34	19.0	
	244.2	.82	.05	6	1.34	19.0	
	244.4	.84	.05	6	1.34	19.0	
	244.6	.84	.05	6	1.35	19.0	
	245.4	.84	.05	6	1.36	19.0	
	246.2	.84	.05	6	1.36	19.0	
	246.6	.84	.05	6	1.36	19.0	

End Time 3:30 PM

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

7.0876

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wal-Mart Location: Bentonville Test Date: 12-13-94
 Test Portion: Baseline: Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 5 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Detroit 60 series Miles/Hours: 262365 I.D.#: 3-134
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: .841 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.23 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 10:40 AM

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
1800	288.8	.80	.02	4	1.53	18.2	
	284.4	.90	.03	6	1.43	18.3	
	284.2	.90	.03	6	1.48	18.4	
	283.8	.90	.03	9	1.49	18.3	
	286.4	.90	.02	6	1.51	18.3	
	288.6	.90	.02	6	1.53	18.3	
	288.8	.90	.03	5	1.44	18.3	
	285.4	.90	.03	6	1.46	18.3	
	285.2	.90	.03	6	1.48	18.3	
AVE:	286.18	.887	.027	6.0	1.483	18.3	

1800
RPM
w/ VDR

- Eng fan cycled
at end

Another truck
- pulled in

X

Crane on
first side
on

End Time 10:55

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wal-Mart Location: Bentonville Test Date: 3-1-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 Engine Make/Model: Series 60 Miles/Hours: 240270 I.D.#: 3-134
 Type of Equipment: _____
 Fuel Specific Gravity: .845 for Craig @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 2:00 PM

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
1800	255.6	.88	.04	6	1.38	18.9	
	255.2	.88	.04	6	1.38	18.7	
	256.4	.9	.04	6	1.39	18.9	
	256.7	.9	.04	6	1.40	18.9	
	257.8	.9	.04	6	1.40	18.9	
	258.4	.88	.04	6	1.39	19.0	
	258.6	.88	.04	6	1.39	19.0	
	258.0	.88	.04	6	1.40	19.0	
	258.8	.88	.04	6	1.39	19.0	
	258.4	.90	.04	6	1.39	19.0	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

3868

Company Name: Wal-Mart **Location:** Bentonville, AK **Date:** 12/13/94
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam.** 5 Inches
Engine Type: Detroit 60 Series **Mile/Hrs** 302445
Equipment Type: Over the Road Trucking **ID #:** 3-002 **Baro** 30.22
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) .855 **Temp:** **Time:** 950

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
1800	269.8	0.95	0.03	6	1.58	17.9	
1800	271	0.95	0.03	6	1.55	18.4	
1800	272	0.95	0.03	5	1.58	18.4	
1800	272.8	0.95	0.03	6	1.6	18.4	
1800	273.8	0.95	0.03	6	1.58	18.3	
1800	274.2	0.95	0.03	6	1.58	18.3	
1800	274.6	0.95	0.03	6	1.59	18.2	
1800	275.6	0.95	0.03	6	1.57	18.3	
1800.000	272.975	.950	.030	5.875	1.579	18.275	Mean
0	1.952	.000	.000	.354	.015	.167	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 5.88E-06 0.0003 .016 .183 28.984 401,104 471,909

Company Name: Wal-Mart **Location:** Bentonville, AK **Test Date:**
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 5 Inches
Engine Type: Detroit 60 Series **Mile/Hrs:**
Equipment Type Over the Road Trucking **ID #:** 3-002 **Baro:**
Fuel Sp. Gravity: **Temp:**
SG Corr Factor: #VALUE! **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	Mean
#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density: #DIV/0! ****% Change PF = ##### %**

** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam.** 5 Inches
Engine Type: Detroit 60 Series **Mile/Hrs** 348004
Equipment Type: Over the Road Trucking **ID #:** 3-282 **Baro** 30.15
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) .843 **Temp:** **Time:** 1400

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
1800	281.4	0.9	0.03	5	1.62	17.7	
1800	282.2	0.9	0.03	5	1.62	18.2	
1800	283.4	0.9	0.03	5	1.62	18.1	
1800	286.4	0.9	0.03	5	1.61	18.2	
1800	288.2	0.85	0.03	5	1.61	18.1	
1800	290.2	0.85	0.03	6	1.61	18	
1800	292	0.85	0.03	5	1.61	18.1	
1800	292	0.85	0.03	5	1.58	18.1	
1800.000	286.975	.875	.030	5.125	1.610	18.063	Mean
0	4.299	.027	.000	.354	.013	.160	Std Dev

VFHC 5.13E-06 **VFCO** 0.0003 **VFCO2** .016 **VFO2** .181 **Mtw1** 28.980 **pf1** 393,546 **PF1** 486,473

Denominator pf1 (d/2)^2*3.1 Denominator F

Company Name: Wal-Mart **Location:** Bentonville, AK **Test Date:**
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 5 Inches
Engine Type: Detroit 60 Series **Mile/Hrs:**
Equipment Type: Over the Road Trucking **ID #:** 3-282 **Baro:**
Fuel Sp. Gravity: **Temp:**
SG Corr Factor: #VALUE! **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	Mean
#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	Std Dev

VFHC #DIV/0! **VFCO** #DIV/0! **VFCO2** #DIV/0! **VFO2** #DIV/0! **Mtw2** #DIV/0! **pf2** #DIV/0! **PF2** #DIV/0!

Denominator pf1 (d/2)^2*3.1 Denominator F

%

** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

Company Name: Wal-Mart **Location:** Bentonville, AK **Date:** 12/13/94

Company Name: Wal-Mart **Location:** Bentonville, AK **Date:** 12/13/94
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam.:** 5 Inches
Engine Type: Detroit 60 Series **Mile/Hrs:** 262365
Equipment Type: Over the Road Trucking **ID #:** 3-134 **Baro:** 30.23
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG): .841 **Temp:** **Time:** 1040

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
1800	288.8	0.8	0.02	4	1.53	18.2	
1800	284.4	0.9	0.03	6	1.43	18.3	
1800	284.2	0.9	0.03	6	1.48	18.4	
1800	283.8	0.9	0.03	9	1.49	18.3	
1800	286.4	0.9	0.02	6	1.51	18.3	
1800	288.6	0.9	0.02	6	1.53	18.3	
1800	288.8	0.9	0.03	5	1.44	18.3	
1800	285.4	0.9	0.03	6	1.46	18.3	
1800	285.2	0.9	0.03	6	1.48	18.3	
1800	286.178	.889	.027	6.000	1.483	18.300	Mean
0	2.060	.033	.005	1.323	.036	.050	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 6.00E-06 0.000266667 .015 .183 28.970 427,037 524,147

Company Name: Wal-Mart **Location:** Bentonville, AK **Test Date:**
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam.:** 5 Inches
Engine Type: Detroit 60 Series **Mile/Hrs:**
Equipment Type: Over the Road Trucking **ID #:** 3-134 **Baro:**
Fuel Sp. Gravity: **Temp:**
SG Corr Factor: #VALUE! **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	Mean
#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density: #DIV/0! ****% Change PF = ##### %**

** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wal-Mart Location: Bentonville Test Date: 12-13-94
 Test Portion: Baseline: Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 9 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Detroit 60 Series Miles/Hours: 83,351 I.D.#: 3-010
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: .844 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.22 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: 38.0 (°F) Start Time: 9:10 AM

Driver
Reporting
by
Driver

Set to
10 RPM
at Idle
then
1000

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
1800	259.0	.95	.02	4	1.46	18.4	
	259.2	.95	.02	4	1.46	18.4	
	260.1	.95	.02	4	1.45	18.5	
	261.0	.90	.03	4	1.46	18.5	
	261.0	.90	.03	4	1.35	18.5	
	260.8	.90	.04	4	1.41	18.6	
	261.2	.90	.04	4	1.45	18.4	
	262.0	.90	.02	4	1.47	18.4	

Cruse on fast idle on

just before fan engaged



End Time 9:20 AM

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Walt Mart Location: Bentonville Test Date: 12 13 94
 Test Portion: Baseline: Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 5 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Series 60 Detroit Miles/Hours: 294640 I.D.#: 3 002
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: .843 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.19 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 1 Pm

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
1800	270.4	.8	.02	6	1.51	18.1	
	271.4	.8	.03	6	1.51	18.3	
	272.2	.85	.03	6	1.51	18.2	
	273.0	.8	.03	6	1.51	18.2	
	274.4	.8	.02	6	1.51	18.3	
	275.6	.8	.03	6	1.52	18.2	
	276.8	.8	.02	6	1.51	18.2	
	277.8	.8	.02	6	1.51	18.2	
Ave: 1800	273.95	.806	.025	6.0	1.511	18.21	

Set with DDR



Cruise on Fast idle on

End Time 1:10 Pm

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wal-Mart Location: Bentonville Test Date: 12-13-91
 Test Portion: Baseline: Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 5 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Detroit 60 series Miles/Hours: 216,625 I.D.#: 3-133
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: 1.854 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.22 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 10:15

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
1800	274.0	1.0	.03	4	1.52	19.1	
	275.2	1.0	.04	6	1.56	18.3	
	275.6	1.0	.03	6	1.55	18.3	
	276.2	1.0	.03	6	1.56	18.2	
	276.6	.95	.03	6	1.55	18.2	
	277.0	.95	.03	6	1.57	18.2	
	277.4	.95	.03	6	1.56	18.2	
	277.4	.95	.03	6	1.56	18.2	
Ave: 1800	276.17	.975	.031	25.75	1.034	18.34	

1800
RPM
Set w/
DDR

Cruise
on
Fast
idle
on

End Time 10:30

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians: